Stage 1 of Gadget examined a large swathe of prior works related to the Gadget project. We conducted an open-minded and thorough survey of related work, from Smalltalk to Minecraft. We surveyed 48 different systems, producing one page visual distillations for each.
Purpose. The Gadget project combines cutting edge ideas from programming languages and game design to invent new tools for novices learning to code as well as expert users. It is predicated on the observation that some of the most powerful ideas in the history of computers—from interface design to programming languages—have come from making systems more tangible, alive, playful, and accessible to children. Drawing on influences from Smalltalk to Minecraft, Gadget seeks to build captivating play experiences that transform users into proficient and creative computational thinkers. But Gadget is more than a playful tutorial; it aims to transform the experience of programming itself.

Approach. The project is divided into four stages: survey, articulating design values, prototyping, and design. In the first stage, we conducted an open-minded and thorough survey of related work, from Smalltalk to Minecraft. We surveyed 48 different systems, producing one page visual distillations for each. In stage two, five high level design values were distilled from the review: a quality of world-ness, linked representation, tactile, personally meaningful, and directed and undirected activity. In the third stage of the project, we will put these design values into action by building and testing prototypes that push the envelope in programming environment design. In the fourth stage we will summarize our learnings in the form of a design for a new computational world.

Who. The Gadget project builds upon the combined background and expertise of Dan Ingalls and Chaim Gingold. Among his many seminal contributions to computing, Dan Ingalls has contributed to making programming more tangible, alive, and open to creative improvisation (e.g. Squeak and Lively). Chaim Gingold brings to the project expertise in designing simulations, play experiences, and creative tools. Recent projects include using simulation toys as book illustrations (Earth Primer), and investigations into diagrammatic representations of software (Ph.D. dissertation).
“I fell in love with the gears.”

“You can be the gear, you can understand how it turns by projecting yourself into its place and turning with it. It is this double relationship—both abstract and sensory—that gives the gear the power to carry powerful mathematics into the mind. In a terminology I shall develop in later chapters, the gear acts here as a transitional object.”

“I fell in love with the gears.”

“My thesis could be summarized as: What the gears cannot do the computer might. The computer is the Proteus of machines. Its essence is its universality, its power to simulate. Because it can take on a thousand forms and can serve a thousand functions, it can appeal to a thousand tastes. This book is the result of my own attempts over the past decade to turn computers into instruments flexible enough so that many children can each create for themselves something like what the gears were for me.”

—Seymour Papert, Mindstorms (1980)
“I fell in love with the gears.”

Mouse in the Maze
John E. Ward and D.T. Ross (1959), TX-0

Mouse in the Maze is one of the oldest software toys. Using a light pen, players would design mazes by erasing and making walls, place cheese, and place a mouse. The mouse would then search for the cheese.

The mouse is perhaps the earliest computer virtual computer characters (predating Weizenbaum’s Eliza), and set the stage for the seminal computer game SpaceWar!

The mouse would could get tuckered out if it found no cheese, and needed to be fed to keep its energy going. If cheese was inaccessible, the mouse—after exploring all available space—would complain, “typing out an appropriate comment on the typewriter,” and be discouraged until fed again (Ward 1959). Graetz describes a tipsy mouse in a variant mode with martinis not cheese (Graetz 1981).

Probably inspired, in part, by Theseus, Shannon’s 1952 electromechanical maze solving mouse. Theseus was an early effort to make problem solving machines—Shannon would later create one of the first chess playing computers (Caïssa).
Cellular automata are a simulation tradition whose roots lie in a synthesis of biology and computation. John von Neumann wished to create a mathematical model of biological self-reproduction, and following a suggestion by Stanislaw Ulam, settled upon a lattice of interacting identical elements as a modeling substrate—not unlike the discrete models used for computer weather simulations. (Turing, also, used a similar model for thinking about morphogenesis in 1952).

Space itself is a computational substrate, a mesh of identical interacting components. Higher level structures, for example the self-reproducing machine above, are said to embedded in the cellular space. One of the most famous cellular automata is John Conway’s Game of Life, which beautiful exemplifies the emergent potency of such systems.

The highly observable nature of such systems, coupled with their representational plasticity, and emergent potential, affords a high degree of player agency and a flexible variety of applications, from biological reproduction and physics to game worlds.
Sketchpad is a seminal program in the history of computing, combining and introducing a variety of ideas: graphical man-machine communication (direct manipulation), instances and masters (inheritance), and constraints. Sutherland writes that “Sketchpad is itself a model of the design process,” as designers primarily work with and produce drawings, and the primary concern of design is fashioning within constraints.

Masters can define attachment points, as well as simply be “constraint complexes” applicable to appropriately typed objects, allowing libraries of smart objects to be recursively built up. A switch shows/hides constraints as manipulable screen elements.

Merging—of points, lines, constraints, composite shapes—allows complex objects to be built up. By merging line endpoints polygons can be built up. Merging a “constraint complex” to a shape applies that operation to a shape.

Sketchpad is for graphical representation of data, but OLGSCP is for graphical representation of programs. Programs are represented as data-flow diagrams.

Many ingenious debugging features are offered. Variable values can be shown on the wires. Probes and breakpoints can be inserted into the program.

Automatic connections, inferred by proximity and data type, aid the creation of complex programs.

A user created symbol/function, and its definition.
Logo

Seymour Papert, Wally Feurzeig, Cynthia Solomon; BBN (1967–)

Logo was intended to create a living world, a culture, in which a domain—in this case mathematics—could be easily absorbed by young learners. Just as French is most easily learned by children in France, Papert sought to create a microworld—mathland—in which children could easily learn math.

Early versions of Logo used tangible robots to perform programs—moving around and drawing pictures. Later, a virtual turtle on a computer screen was used. The turtle has “holding power” (it’s fun), and affords “playing turtle” (identification). (Papert 1987)

Papert argued that linking the abstract and the sensory—for example performing a program or shape—was a powerful way to link multiple representations. He called this body linkage “body syntonic.” The turtle functions as a “transitional object” between the self and a domain (Papert 1980).

The turtle was inspired by William Walter’s autonomous tortoise robots.

The turtle functions as a vital “body syntonic” link between a person and the domain. A classic example is closing your eyes and walking in a circle to gain an understanding of how to make a circle.
GRAIL (Graphical Input Language)
Ellis, Heafner, Sibley, Groner, and others (1969).

In GRAIL, users draw graphical flow-charts in order to write software. It was used to make sophisticated programs—the GRAIL system, for example, is written in itself. Users draw pictures, write characters, and manipulate virtual objects. It used a tablet and CRT, and was developed at RAND Corporation.

Once they have been drawn and recognized, objects can be moved (top-right handle) and resized (bottom-right handle).

Programs can be compiled and run at full speed, or stepped through with a debugging interpreter that can run the program at variable speeds.


TORTIS stands for Toddler’s Own Recursive Turtle Interpreter System. System for introducing programming to pre-literate children for Logo. Boxes with buttons on them are introduced, graduating from puppeteering to programming. As proficiency increases, new boxes are introduced that plug into and expand possible actions.

1. **Action Box**
   - Forward, Back
   - Rotate
   - Toot Horn
   - Pen Down, Up
   - Light On, Off

2. **Number Box**
   Push a number before an action, and the action is done that many times. Stop interrupts action.

3. **Memory Box**
   - Start remembering
   - Stop remembering
   - Do it
   - Forget it
   (Works in conjunction with a display.)

4. **Four Procedure Box**
   - Remember and playback multiple procedures; allows subprocedures.

Images from Perlman (1976), which has some fantastic observations about what worked and didn’t work. Photos from http://cyberneticzoo.com/tag/radia-perlman/
The slow machine design was intended to address some of the shortcomings in TORTIS—that the commands are stored to memory “by the child, not by some magic that occurs when it somehow enters a different mode.” (Perlman 1976). She had noted that children attended to the reactive display in Button Box when storing things to memory, and used the memory mode as a way to achieve visual effects on the display—not it’s intended use at all. The Slot Machine made the memory elements tangible. Cards allow the language to gradually grow in complexity—like the Button Box.

Since the display wasn’t needed to sow program memory, Perlman used the screen as the primary output.

Some of the drawings shown here, (from Perlman 1976), are speculative designs.

See also Morgado et al. (2006) Radia Perlman – A pioneer of young children computer programming. Photogram from Morgado et al. (2006), other images from Perlman (1976).
SimKit
Adele Goldberg and the Smalltalk team (1976)

Smalltalk job shop simulation tool made for end-users (executives). Each executive participant had a private machine and tutor.

Notable features:
- Animating graphics.
- Smalltalk errors translated into meaningful simulation events.
- Mouse tutorial via customization of text size for readability on initiation.
- Custom class browser for four SimKit classes: station, worker, job, report.

Information on SimKit is meager. Best descriptions are in:
Highlights
- Constraint based
- Bidirectional data flow (follows from constraints)
- Spatial
- Lends itself to multiple domains; a kit of kits.
Examples include electronics, structural engineering (bridge), geometry (proof-ish), and algebra (Fahrenheit-Celsius converter).
Boxer is a programming environment that employs a comprehensive spatial metaphor for everything: boxes.

**Questions**

- What sense of world-ness is shared with something like Rocky’s Boots?
- Is “naive realist”—which sounds like Rocky’s Boots—another way of saying direct manipulation? If not, why not? Is it more general? Specific? Orthogonal?
- Can this blend smoothly into a spreadsheet like representation? (grids of boxes)
Rocky’s Boots
Warren Robinett and Leslie Grimm, 1982
Apple II (and other platforms)

Highlights:
• Logic circuit construction set.
• Multiple ways to engage:
  - As a spatial world to explore (like Robinett’s Adventure)
  - As a sandbox—build and experiment
  - As a game. Build circuits that satisfy recognition constraints.
• Gentle on-ramping; traverse spatial world to learn how to play.
• User interface is hampered by a lack of mouse (even more so in emulation, perhaps.)

One of the many carnival-like game puzzles. Design a circuit that recognizes blue crosses. When boot is activated it kicks the shapes.

Loose parts create a sandbox effect.

A spatial journey playfully introduces the game.

As in HyperCard, even the explanatory materials can be played with—taken apart, recombined, and transformed.
Highlights:
• Design and play simulated pinball machines.
• Established “construction set” and “software toy” genres, settings the foundation for things such as SimCity.
• First commercially available PARC/Apple inspired graphical user interface.
• Pinball is a kind of computational machine.
• In addition to machine layout, you can tweak the laws of physics, and map scoring and sound relationships.

Smith et al. (1994) describe it as “programming by direct manipulation”—in the domain of pinball games. “The elements begin functioning as soon as they are dropped into place.” The challenge they set out is to “increase the generality without losing the ease of use.”
The spreadsheet is credited with establishing the personal computer industry, transforming it from a hobbyist pastime to an essential business tool. The spreadsheet has also been an evocative object to “think with” (Turkle 1984) for computer scientists—e.g. Alan Kay (1984a; 1984b) and Terry Winograd (Winograd 1996).

- Data centric. Input/output always visible.
- Organize data in tabular form.
- Cells can only contain formulas, and show the result of those formulas.
- Instant and incremental feedback.
- Spatial. References are spatial.
- Non-spatial references are hard; can’t easily refactor functions and variables out of the table.
- Graduated involvement and learning. Begin by reading a sheet, then tinker with data and templates, modify, and finally create your own.

- Bridges program and data, bridging programmer and user (Winograd 1996).
- Introduces a powerful new representation, a “virtuality” (Nelson, Winograd) of a computing data sheet; can be seen as domain specific (Nardi). However, it is a highly abstract abstraction pattern.
- As an externalized shareable cognitive instrument, it engenders fluid sharing and learning, becoming a communal practice (Nardi 1993).
**Robot Odyssey 1**
Mike Wallace and Leslie Grimm, 1984
Apple II (and other platforms)

The inside of a robot. Go inside to connect logic to various ports: thrusters, grabbers, battery, eye, antenna, bumpers, etc...

The outside of your robots, which autonomously move around a living robot city. They can be placed inside of one another.

Highlights:
- Extremely difficult. (Intended sequel never made.)
- Adventure game structure. Overcome puzzles that impede your journey.
- World editor (I think)—tools for authoring the world are included and exist inside of the game.
- Fully recursive: design and burn circuits; put robots in one another.

Steamer
Hollan, Hutchins, and Weitzman (1984)

Steamer is an “interactive inspectable simulation” of a steam ship. It is a dynamic and hierarchical “dynamic graphical explanation” of a non-trivial domain—the propulsion system of a Navy ship, modeled in about 100 different diagrams. It also includes an authoring tool for the diagrams.

System overview.

Diagram of subsystem.

State as traditional gauges

Highlights (paraphrasing the article):
- Allows users to interact with a concrete version of the mental models experts use.
- Internal state can be monitored and manipulated.
- The authoring tool can be used to create “mini-labs”.
- “Presenters” discuss how things work, including “procedures,” “mappings from abstract generic components and procedures to particular instances.”

Color images from http://pages.ucsd.edu/~ehutchins/Steamer.html

Given how visual and diagrammatic Jay Forrester’s notation for system dynamics designs was, it is perhaps unsurprising that an authoring tool like Stella came along. STELLA stands for Structural Thinking Experiential Learning Laboratory with Animation. An explicit goal was to bring System Dynamics to a broader audience by baking in expert knowledge—"model-creation heuristics"—about the modeling domain in order to bring system dynamic model making to a broader audience. Richmond thought that courses and apprenticeships were an inefficient way to spread the practice.

What if, as Alan Kay has suggested in an email to HARC, system dynamics models were animated with particles flowing through the system?

Highlights

- **Kit** (Primitives, Navigable palette and program, Connectable)
- “Concrete manipulation”:
  - Example state always present.
  - Spatial layout and connectivity. (“Visual metaphor” “encompasses” “browsing, testing, connecting” and “using.”)
- “User frame”—designate parts of the diagram as external vs. internal.
Playground
Fenton and Beck (1989), along with Kay, Marion, Beck and Wallace.

Part of the Vivarium project, Playground is an object-oriented language designed for children. The idea was that children would imbue graphical objects with rules, “turning them loose in an environment” and thus gain an appreciation for “complex dynamic systems.” Particular inspiration is taken from biological systems, which seems to inform many of the examples. Reading Fenton and Beck, the system design sounds like an important historical keyframe between Smalltalk and Squeak, E-Toys, and Scratch.

At first, the program appears to be a drawing program—shape objects, bitmaps, text, and aggregate objects are edited via direct manipulation. This structure is recursive, as objects can be opened up, revealing itself to be another playfield containing agents.

Given their biological impetus, and talk of sign stimulus and drive centers, it’s unclear why they didn’t offer, as programming representations, (a) behavior trees, and (b) visual diagrams.

Programs are described in terms of causal relations and an “English-like syntax.” The authors also speculate that comic book panels could be a good representation for programs.

Interacting agents are embedded and interact within cellular spaces called sheets. Agents are reactive to direct manipulation and have autonomous behavior.

Agent Sheets draws upon a similarly spirited broad field of paradigms: artificial life, visual programming, “programmable drawing tools,” “simulation environments”, games, cellular automata, and “spreadsheet extensions.” Repenning draws upon these shared characteristics: visual, spatial notation, dynamic, direct manipulation, and incremental agency.

Highlights:
- Kits (“agencies”) describe specific domains. One effect of “layered” design is “roles”—end-users vs. scenario designers. Example domains in thesis: Turing machines, circuits, flow, traffic, programs.
- Sheet is a cellular 2d space, but agents can be stacked up in a cell.
- Incremental refinement of art, behavior, etc…
- A highly generalize idea of flow is used for things like neural nets, flow charts, water flow, circuits, system dynamic style models, and traffic.
- It also supports ecological style spatial simulations.
- User interaction and agent communication is in the same representation. i.e. Anything can do to one another everything the user can.

AlgoBlock
Hideyuki Suzuki and Hiroshi Kato (1993)

AlgoBlock is designed to facilitate collaborative, socially situated, and meaningful (authentic) learning. They write that “learning is a process of enculturation through social interactions.” The authors envision programming languages as “conversational artifacts” that scaffold “interactions among learners.”

The primary point of departure from traditional languages—e.g. Logo—is reimagining the screen based user interface, which affords interaction only by a few viewers, with a tangible block interface. The program controls the behavior of an agent, an underwater submarine, in a simulated microworld. Program blocks represent Logo inspired movement commands and control structures. Some blocks have physical switches on them for parameter control.

Ease of use facilitates immersion in group activity rather than the tool itself. Furthermore, it “promotes trail-and-error,” which stimulates interaction. Tangibility affords “simultaneous accessing” and “mutual monitoring”—everyone can observe and interact with the shared representation and activity. Tangibility also encourages learners to engage in natural turn taking behavior. Tangibility enables a repertoire of actions and coordinating gestures from the physical world to come into play: reaching out, pointing, looking at, turning towards, holding, etc…

In KidSim graphical simulations are created via graphical rewrite rules, which also enables a kind of programming by demonstration.

The creators argue that most people can use editor GUIs (e.g. paint programs), and can give directions, but cannot program. Their solution is to “get rid of the programming language” in favor of a philosophy grounded in GUI design:
- Visibility. Relevant information is visible; causality is clear; modelessness.
- Copy and modify, not make from scratch.
- See and point, not remember and type.
- Concrete, not abstract.
- Familiar conceptual model. (“minimum translation distance”).

They choose a symbolic simulation microworld as a domain because it leads to knowing, ownership, and motivation.

All objects are agents which have appearances, properties (name value pairs), and rules.

One of the creators of KidSim, David Smith, was also the creator of another graphical programming environment: Pygmalion.
This paper argues for an augmentation to visual programming they call tactile programming. The idea here being that program definitions—including program fragments—are dynamic and reactive things that can be run, manipulated, and shared.

The authors describe tactile programming as “Perception by manipulation”. You touch and poke and prod to learn about—not just the simulated world, but the code itself—as well as fragments of that code. In this, there are echoes of Scratch, where you can click on code fragments to run them, and hover over expressions to see their values.

Program fragments can be dropped onto objects. This also has the lovely feel, which they hint at, of lambdas—programs as first class objects that can be sent as messages to other objects.

The social dimension is also very important. Just as Scratch’s loose couplings between sprites means greater ease of sharing, AgenTalk seeks to allow sharing at multiple levels of granularity, from entire simulations to components such as objects and code fragments.
c-jump
Igor Kholodov (1997)

http://www.c-jump.com
AlgoBlocks (from McNerney 2000); color image from Internet.

McNerney 2000
“Processing is a flexible software sketchbook and a language for learning how to code within the context of the visual arts.” —processing.org

Processing is basically Java with a wrapper GUI and a simple and easy to learn set of APIs for drawing, making sound, etc... It has proven to be extremely popular, and now has a Javascript/web incarnation (p5.js).

Why is Processing so successful? Some ideas:
- Targets one domain/community: visual arts.
- Focuses system design
- Motivational frame
- Programming is quickly gratifying: art, animation, and interaction!
- Caters to learners and experts.
  - Not a toy environment
  - Easy to play around ("sketchbook")
- Easy stuff is easy, hard stuff is possible
- Straightforward examples.
- API exposes key computational and graphical concepts as simple primitives.

EToys
(1997), built in Squeak

Squeak EToys is an authoring environment for children to think, learn, and create with. It descends from the tradition of Logo, Smalltalk, HyperCard, and emphasizes kinesthetic learning and play as gateways to learning powerful ideas. Scaffolding is intended to be done by teachers, not peers (like Scratch) or games (like Rocky’s Boots), and the underlying motivation for engagement is pedagogical, not self-expression (like Scratch).

While the interface is powerful, it can be overwhelming and awkward to manipulate. It lacks overviews, and there are multiple spatial/containment hierarchies—the visual world of objects, and an internal hierarchy of objects—to keep clear.

EToys offers inspectors for seeing and modifying things in visual and symbolic forms. The inspectors are quite plastic: variables and code can be dragged into the world. The EToys environment itself can be probed and modified with the same tools.

EToys is a spatial, visual world. Objects have locations, sizes, costumes, and headings. They can draw like Logo turtles, and communicate via the framebuffer, exhibiting bitmap costumes and sensing pixels in the framebuffer (SimCity’s maps functions similarly). Self-driving cars and Rocky’s Boots style simulations are easy to make.

Programming is done with tiles. These are more expressive than Scratch, but manipulation is clumsier. (e.g. attachment combinatorics unclear, and they are hard to disassemble.) Code can live anywhere—in a script, in the world, as a single line or as a script. Clicking “!” executes something, offering a smooth ramp from puppeteering to coding.
Scratch
Lifelong Kindergarten, MIT Media Lab (2004)

Scratch is a tool for kids to make “personally meaningful” programs like “animated stories and games”. It supports “self-directed learning” through tinkering and collaboration with peers.” (Maloney et al. 2004). At http://scratch.mit.edu, users can browse, play, comment on, see inside, and remix projects.

Tile based programming

Game-like domain: sprites on a stage.

Images from Maloney et al. 2010

Overviews: single window; short, browsable command set; color coded command tiles.

Liveness means code is always running. Tinkerability means even code fragments can be run and experimented with—e.g. click any fragment to run it. There are no error messages; tile shapes constrains combinations.

Running block outlined in white.

Error shown in red.

running command highlighted in yellow (both when single stepping and running)

expression result shown on hover

Data is concrete —put it on the stage to see it; data changes are animated.
Dwarf Fortress

Dwarf Fortress
PaintCode
PixelCut (2012)

PaintCode is a production quality illustration program with parametric features that programmers would love. You can design parametric illustrations and then export code (Swift, SVG, CSS) that generates the art with parametric hooks.

You can’t edit the code. The linked representation can help you learn how to program. Just flipping between output languages (Swift, Objective C, etc…) is educational. This is related to the idea of code puppeteering—perform to see the corresponding code generated.

In general, constraints in PaintCode are not systemic (as in Apparatus), but special case per feature; this is how it maintains a production quality user interface that nonetheless captures some powerful parametric features.

Colors and variables are threaded into object properties—either on the canvas or through the inspector.

Spatial constraints can be established between special frames and shapes (and vertices), allowing visually adjustable parametric systems to be built up.
Lively Kernel

(2012)

• From SmallTalk: Inline Evaluate (and print) and Run. This idea has been rediscovered over and over (e.g. Light Table).
code.org
Founded in 2013 by Hadi and Ali Partovi

Code.org offers a massive library of programming courses made up of highly accessible—and visually polished—progressions of block based programming puzzles. It accommodates K-12 via courses geared towards specific ages and grade levels. Multiple thematic entry points, including loads of licensed IP, try to accommodate all tastes. The emphasis is on learning to code to solve given puzzles, rather than programming as creative expression (e.g. Scratch).

Google’s open source Blockly JavaScript library is used.
Python Tutor is a popular example of a multi-decade endeavor in computer science: program visualization system for pedagogic ends. It also overlaps with another effort called software visualization. Even the Atari 2600 had a BASIC cartridge exhibiting such characteristics, made by Warren Robinett, the creator of Adventure (2600) and Rocky’s Boots (Apple II).

Some observations I pulled from surveys by Sorva and others (see references below):

• User motivation and engagement is critical. Sorva et al. (2013) argues that a constructionist orientation is desirable: learners are “makers who want to build things,” which “can be harnessed for better learning.”

• Level of abstraction of representation is an important choice. Are algorithms or program execution represented? Abstractions chosen reflect the aims of the system builders.

• Emphasis tends to be on generic representations. What if, instead, we allowed that special cased visual designs, perhaps by the programmer, were worthwhile?

For good surveys, see:


See also:

An interactive visualization of the Gezira/Nile software by Dan Amelang, a graphics renderer (Gezira) written in a domain specific language (Nile).

This project points to ways in which software description, data, and behavior, can be represented and made tangible as deeply and vivaciously interlinked representations.
Hack 'n' Slash
Double Fine Productions (2014)

Hack 'n' Slash is a traditional Zelda-like adventure game—an adventure game structure that requires puzzle solving as well as action gameplay to progress—with a twist: instead of a sword, you have a USB stick that can be used to inspect and modify (hack) everything in the world.

The primary mode of interaction is viewing and editing the property sheet of objects.

Below, the player has revealed a visualization of normally invisible spatial data structures:

The game works best when it provides an experience that flirts with the boundary between in-game debugging tools that a developer might use, and the experience of a typical genre game. When the game veers off into basic scripting the experience falls apart, as the tools aren't very good.
What if making shaders was more like using Photoshop than writing symbolic code? Shadershop is a direct manipulation interface for constructing shaders out of primitive functions like lines and sine waves, and binary operations like compose, add, and multiply.

Shadershop always shows you the expressed you have created at the bottom, helping you to think across multiple representations. It works in both 1d and 2d domains.

I wish the expression, function hierarchy, and inspector helped me patterns across them more. Perhaps inspector coordinates could be shown in the function hierarchy, and color patterning and pointer interaction could help connect the symbolic expression with the functions. Maybe there is some way to spatially connect the function hierarchy with the expression hierarchy—that would be great.

Also, it would be nice if somehow the center of action—the main rendered display—would also function as the primary place where you manipulate all composed functions. Of course this is probably not practical with the 2d view, but it might in 1d.

http://tobyschachman.com/Shadershop/
Earth Primer is a science book illustrated with interactive toys.

Narrative structure borrows from
- Books: segmentation (chapters, pages), order, and formal genre expectations.
- Games: completing objectives unlocks pages and tools.
Concepts are gradually introduced, creating a fluency gradient in both using the program and geology.

Every aspect of the design is designed to foster delight and wonder: simulation and tool dynamics, interaction design, music, sound, visuals, and animation.

Open-ended simulation play is encouraged in the sandbox mode, and by allowing the narrative intent of most pages to be subverted by open-ended play.
Human Resource Machine
Tomorrow Corporation (2015)

A game about programming. Each stage asks you to write an assembly language program that directs an office worker (you) to manipulate blocks of data.

What works:
• Gentle progression.
• Each level is a simple programming challenge.
• Drag and drop code editor.
• Adjusting playback speed.
• Concreteness: data, program, character taking action, animation.
• Player represented as a character in the program, world, and story.
• Immersive world, story, characters, and music.
• Dialog.
• Optional optimization challenges (# instructions, steps)

I wish:
• Output was meaningful information, not random data.
• Output could be personally meaningful.
• I could puppet the worker directly to specify action—we could close to this when indicating registers, as we point to the world.
• I could drag program counter.
• More robust time travel debugging. You can only rewind after the program fails. Pause needed.
• World reacts, previews with data and activity, program as I build it.
• Assembly is a liability and an asset.
Apparatus
Toby Schachman (2015), and Joshua Horowitz

“Apparatus is a hybrid graphics editor and programming environment for creating interactive diagrams” (http://aprt.us). It’s central fantastic trick is that the diagram elements can be directly manipulated, allowing the diagram and code to be played backwards and forwards. A numerical solver is used to make the magic happen.

What works:
• Powerful causal linkages between visual and parametric elements.
• Solver magically brings these bidirectional linkages to life.
• System has a lot of expressive power.
• Clear linkage between visual and inspector relationships.
• Combination of direct manipulation and coding.

I wish:
• Multiple representations—outline, inspector view, constraints—were more consolidated, ideally as manipulables in the main canvas.
• More responsive performance.
• An ecology of reading and writing:
  • Easy to embed diagrams and make content for them to live in.
  • Easy to share components.
• Complex network of causal and hierarchical relationships was somehow less dizzying.
• Improved graphics tools, e.g. color picker.
• Simulations: feedback loops and time.
g9 is a Javascript library for making interactive figures. It works like a stripped down version of Apparatus. Figures are described as code, with the variables that move freely with user interaction specifically called out. A numeric solver is used to find new values for these variables in response to dragging of the generated svg graphic.
Reverse diagrams (Gingold 2016) map and translate the rules of a complex simulation program into a form that is more easily digested, embedded, disseminated, and discussed (Latour 1986).

The technique is inspired by the game designer Stone Librande’s one-page game design documents (Librande 2010).

If we merge the reverse diagram with an interactive approach—e.g. Bret Victor’s Nile Visualization (Victor 2013), such diagrams could be used generatively, to describe programs, and interactively, to allow rich introspection and manipulation of software.

Sketch-n-sketchn is an editor for live-linked code and graphics. Edit the code and the diagram changes; edit the diagram and the code responds. It is inspired, in part, by Bret Victor’s Drawing Dynamic Visualizations and Toby Schachman’s Apparatus. The point of departure is making a fully featured programming language a top level goal.

I find this to be a very cool idea with some nice flourishes—and I’m sure there is much more to admire. In practice I find it to be quite cumbersome. You have to manually push a “Run” button to get the diagram to respond to code changes, which often leads to syntax errors blowing up the diagram—making the code feel fragile and not incrementally tweak-able, which is a basic asset of direct manipulation. The graphics editor is clunky, and lacks rudimentary user interface niceties. (e.g. I couldn’t push delete to remove a selected object.)

One of my favorite touches is that rolling over graphics elements highlights corresponding elements in code.

An explanation of bubble sort that uses “showing and doing”, “play”, and “exploration.” While Glen’s piece is a work in progress of a much longer explanatory essay, I want to call attention here to a key interactive:

A line of characters represents an array of data to be sorted. If you touch a character, it jumps—and will jump over its neighbor if they are in the wrong order.

Admirable qualities to note:
• Player puppet the algorithm, from the algorithm’s points of view—where it is iterating from.
• Data is concrete.
• Representation is appealing: characters, colors, sound, animation.

What I would push further on:
• Make data more tangible: allow me to reorder and stretch the characters.
• Make more of the algorithm tangible. e.g. A butterfly flying overhead that can also be moved; A comparator function that is somehow tangible.
• Start and build up from simplest thing: two characters to play with.
• Even simpler: play starts by manually sorting two elements themselves (no comparator and auto-jump; just click to jump and swap.)
Carbide
Guillermo Webster and Kevin Kwok (2016)

Experimental programming environment with a variety of intriguing features. (I can’t get the environment to load, and the site CSS is periodically failing for me).

- **Back propagation** (edit program output and input changes)
- Add **probes** to the variables, expressions, or subexpressions of running programs.
- **Extensible widgets for a variety of data types** that visualize and edit these values, e.g. numbers, sliders, HTML, map data, colors, graphics, strings, matrices, JavaScript object, JSON, binary data, etc...
- Supports (in theory) any language, although JavaScript is most supported right now.
- **Programming notebook** style cells (can’t find a visual of this though). They point out you need fewer cells that other environments since so much visualization is handled for you.
- Rich text comments. (Pictures would be even better).
- Polished visuals and interaction design.

http://alpha.trycarbide.com
Hyper-literate programming environment with a unique database/causality model. Descends from the Light Table (2012) project.

- **State database.** All state is in a database of records. Program fragments read and write to this database, which also encapsulates errors. In this fashion, complex programs are build by composing simple processes that read/write to the database. (Analogous, in some ways, to a spreadsheet.)

- **Causality tracking.** Database enables system to track causality—what led to what. (This design brings Realtalk to mind.)

- **Inspect output** (e.g. HTML) to see what might have created it.

- **Hyperliterate programming.** Code is not just embedded in prose, but a complex program takes the form of navigable hierarchical prose (i.e. a book). Code view is dynamic: select which parts of the program you want to see.

- **Inline errors**

- **Inline data.** Easy inline data visualization (notebook style), with some simple widgets, like bar graphs.
Simulating the World (in Emoji)
Nicky Case (2016)

An authoring tool for cellular automata style simulations. Leverages appeal, art, and abstraction of emoji.

The world state and simulation rules can be edited live.

A GUI editor scaffolds creation of valid rules.
La Tabla is an experiment in making a computerized plaything that is radically embodied and open ended. It is a magical table—put things on it and they come to life. Make music, play pong, design and play your own pinball tables, and create animations with your body, your friends, paper, drawings, game pieces—whatever strikes your fancy. La Tabla achieves this by combining computer vision, projection mapping, and design principles that anticipate and encourage open ended play and appropriation.

Many of Tabla’s activities can be seen as a kind of programming. For example, Fredkin and Toffoli’s billiard ball AND gate shows how computer logic can arise from billiard ball physics (image from Wikipedia).
Loopy
Nicky Case (2017)

Draw circles, lines, and type to create simple system dynamic style feedback loops. In this effort, there are echoes of Richmond’s Stella authoring tool (1985) and Forrester’s visual system dynamics notation.
The developers of this title are experienced independent game developers. Although they’ve published very little about this title, it looks promising.

An electrical system with visually apparent state (wires on/off) helps to integrate various game systems.

http://statemachinegame.com/blog/
The idea behind Flow Sheets is to make visible all of the data that courses through a program, and to afford a style of programming in which data is always visible. The resemblance to spreadsheets is more than visual: programming is never divorced from data, even while it is being authored.

Each table has a name, can be independently moved around the grid, and reference one another’s data. The presentation style of tables can be changed, for example allowing html to be shown as code or a rendered page layout. When values are modified downstream data changes are called out via a simple highlighting animation.

Work in progress. This is the second major iteration of Flow Sheets. Glen created the first one in 2016.
References


